

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Response to EDF's latest information on Sizewell C
Date: 21 May 2022 19:03:00

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a resident of East Suffolk, I would like my comments on the latest EDF proposals for Sizewell C to be considered by the Secretary of State and the Planning Inspectorate:

1. The small B-road B1122 will be used for an unacceptable volume of heavy site traffic.
2. There are no adequate plans for long-term potential coastal erosion.
3. There is no practical solution to dangerous waste from decommissioning - pushing out beyond 100 years (i.e., not the responsibility of anyone currently living - unfair to future generations). Also there is a flood risk interim to this period - take note of what happened at Fukushima.
4. The approach to potable water appears shambolic.
5. The biodiversity claims are dubious - where is the evidence for the claims? The SSSI is at serious risk from this development.

My understanding is that the reactor design has significant faults. Sites in Finland and France have serious issues, as does Hinckley in UK. A Chinese site has had to shut down.

Even if these issues are resolved within time and budget constraints, the timescale is too long to address the urgent need to reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide.

With Thanks,

Yours Sincerely,

Greg Walsh.